

## ITEM 6 STA PUBLIC COMMENT 002

**From:** [Sacramento Transit Advocates & Riders \(STAR\)](#)  
**To:** [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)  
**Subject:** SacTA 2022-07-27 agenda 6  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 27, 2022 10:26:54 AM

---

**EXTERNAL EMAIL:** If unknown sender, **do not** click links/attachments.

Sacramento Transit Advocates and Riders (STAR) is opposed to SacTA adopting the 'citizens initiative'. The measure was developed without public engagement.

The measure was designed by the sponsors, primarily two greenfield developers, to bully SACOG, SacTA, and citizens of the county into funding the Capital Southeast Connector for their benefit, attempting to exclude that project and others from GHG compliance.

It is clear in the language of the measure that transit is seen primarily as mitigation for roadway capacity expansion, not as a valid transportation mode. Future transit projects will be severely constrained by the need to mitigate.

Dan Allison  
Sacramento Transit Advocates and Riders (STAR)

--

—  
Sacramento Transit Advocates and Riders (STAR)  
<https://star-transit.org>

## ITEM 6 STA PUBLIC COMMENT 003

**From:** [Jasmine Ripoyla](#)  
**To:** [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)  
**Subject:** Written Comment for STA and SAVSA meeting on July 27, 2022  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 27, 2022 11:26:29 AM

---

**EXTERNAL EMAIL:** If unknown sender, **do not** click links/attachments.

Meeting date: July 27, 2022

Agenda Item #6

Sacramento County deserves a better measure that supports REAL sustainable transportation. This measure would instead increase sprawl, which will worsen the already dangerous climate crisis. We want less traffic, not more. We need more money for buses and bikeways, NOT new expressways. I oppose this ballot measure being added to the ballot in November.